

REMARKS

In accordance with the foregoing, claims 11, 14, and 25 are amended. No new matter is added. Claims 11-23 and 25-32 are pending and under consideration.

The previously submitted response filed on September 15, 2008 remains valid.

Claims 11-19, and 25-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over U.S. Publication No. 2004/0042547 A1 to Coleman (hereinafter "Coleman") and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,721,950 B1 to Lupu ("Lupu").

In view of the interview with the Examiner held on September 12, 2008, Applicants amend independent claims 11, 14 and 25 to clarify that a stream of images rather than a static image is provided to the image processing apparatus. The claim amendments are supported by the originally filed specification, for example, FIG. 1 and the corresponding description in which the servers S1-Sn that store the images provide a video signal, analogue RGB. Thus, no new matter is added.

In view of these amendments it becomes clearer that the teachings, of U.S. Patent No. 6,721,950 B1 to Lupu ("Lupu") which refers to static images on a single computer, are not properly applied as rendering obvious the features recited in the claims.

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.


Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: Sept 17, 2008

By: 
Luminita A. Todor
Registration No. 57,639

1201 New York Avenue, N.W., 7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501